Meeting was called to order at 12:52 pm

In attendance:
  • J. Anderson, E. Borkowski, T. Buma, F. Maloy, A. Meyghani ’13, A. Morris, F. Orellana, D. Snyder

Absent:
  • K. George

1. Review and approval of Minutes from 10/29/2012.

2. Google Apps for Education Discussion
   Ellen went over the questions that had come up during the faculty meeting discussion:
   
   * What’s the guarantee for free service?
   * Does Google look at message headers?
   * What is the back-up plan if Union College were to be cut off from our ISP?
   * Are clicks looked at a holistic or individual level?
   * Is there encryption in mail?
   * What is the overall cost savings?
   * What protections are in place at Google against subpoenas?

   Through email exchanges and discussions at the EDUCAUSE Conference (Nov 6-9), Ellen was able to get the answers to the above questions. Those answers were discussed with the committee.

   Feedback provided by members of the committee included a request for focus groups for all divisions (smaller version of the big faculty meeting), some people just do not like Google, did Ellen reach out to other schools, what other schools were looked at, what does merging the domains enable and does it fix a larger computation issue.

   Other feedback on other IT topics included an inquiry about website support for the content management system and general feedback around the IT security discussion (locking phones Is not a popular choice).
3. Review of Policies
   a. With the change over to a vendor offering ResNet services, ITS will be removing the Bandwidth Management Policy as soon as the services are switched over. There will no longer be a need to “shape” network traffic and ITS plans on turning that off after the switch.
   b. The ResNet Policy also is not needed when we move to the vendor solution. Upon review of the current ResNet Policy, it was determined there were a few key points in that policy that made sense to include in our current Acceptable Use of Union College Computing and Network Resources. The committee reviewed and approved the suggested changes.

4. New Business
   No new business was discussed.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:46 pm.

Reminder: LC ACT website:
https://its.union.edu/about-its/committee-academic-computing-and-technology